UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/587,302	07/26/2006	Ralf Wnuk	51540	6536	
	7590 04/13/201 ABRAMS, BERDO &	EXAMINER			
1300 19TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON,, DC 20036			ANDERSON, DENISE R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/13/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/587,302	WNUK, RALF		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Denise R. Anderson	1797		

	Denise R. Anderson	1797				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavir eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS The present arrandom of the Control of						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection filed after the proposed amendment filed after t	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	ducing of simplifying ti	16 133063 101			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11-25.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) rejected. <u>11-25.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Walter D. Griffin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration puts forth arguments that were previously addressed in the final rejection.